UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,174	09/26/2006	Hideshi Hattori	CU-5102 RJS	7751
26530 LADAS & PAR	7590 12/17/200 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			KOSSON, ROSANNE	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/594,174	HATTORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rosanne Kosson	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 S	Sentember 2008						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 September 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4.	55 O.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are pending in the application						
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.33(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The patrol declaration is objected to by the L.	Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-192.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/594,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 7-15, drawn to a method of making a cell culture substrate, comprising irradiating the substrate through a light-shielding portion to form a pattern of two types of areas, those to which cells do adhere and those to which cells do not adhere.

Group 2, claim(s) 16, drawn to an apparatus for making a cell culture substrate comprising a cell culture chamber, a cell culture substrate, and a source of radiation.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

The requirement of unity of invention is not fulfilled because there is no technical relationship among these inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" means those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Therefore, a technical relationship is lacking among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The technical feature that links the 2 groups of inventions is a cell culture substrate and a source of radiation.

The inventions of Groups 1-2 do not share the common special technical feature of a cell culture substrate and a source of radiation, because Singvhi et al. (US 6,368,838) disclose a cell culture substrate and a source of radiation (see col. 3, line 49, to col. 5, line 18).

Thus, the technical feature of a cell culture substrate and a source of radiation does not define the invention over the prior art. Because the common technical feature is not novel (special) with respect to the cited reference, it is clear that the claims of Groups 1-2 lack a single common technical feature that defines them over the prior art.

Further, an international application containing claims to different categories of inventions will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of certain combinations of categories:

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

Application/Control Number: 10/594,174 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

(2) A product and process of use of said product; or

- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process (see 37 CFR 1.475(b)-(d)). In the instant case, the apparatus of Group 2 is one that irradiates any cell culture substrate. It is not specially designed to perform the method of Group 1. Moreover, the cell culture substrate of Group 1 may be made by coating the substrate with a cell adhesion layer containing a photocatalyst, applying a mask and irradiating it. A special apparatus is not required. Thus, this combination of inventions does not comply with the aforementioned Rules. But, because a corresponding special technical feature is not present, Groups 1 and 2 cannot be considered to have unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosanne Kosson whose telephone number is 571-272-2923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-6:00, alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/594,174 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosanne Kosson Examiner, Art Unit 1652

rk/2008-12-12

/Delia M. Ramirez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652